

**Sent:** Fri, 6 May 2005 04:24:57 -0700 (PDT)  
**From:** "Robert Nadeau"  
**Subject:**[Maryann's married and maiden names]  
**To:** [Maryann's Surgeon]

Dear [Surgeon],

I am a Maine attorney and a part-time, elected judge. Like you, I (directly) and (indirectly) my wife, children, and many others affected by my resultant ordeals, am also a victim of the sexual, adulterous prowess and charms and subsequent bar complaint of your former patient, [Maryann's full name]. Through extensive efforts I will not address at this point, I have learned about the torrid, adulterous sexual affair you had with [Maryann] from December 2000 through September 2001. With the assistance of my retained counsel, I have managed to obtain hard copies of several emails, mostly from [Maryann] to you but some from you to her, during the period from December 2, 2000 through December 20, 2000 as well as of what may have been her final email to you following your announcement to her of, and your discussion with her about, your breakup. I also am in possession of a hard copy of a completed Complaint form [Maryann] prepared and signed on September 22, 2001, to be filed with the [grievance] against you, and of a letter she prepared for [another doctor] concerning the affair. We are also in possession of other evidence concerning your affair, as well as of [Maryann's] conversations with others about you while the affair was on-going. Further, I have uncovered very considerable evidence establishing that you were very far from being [Maryann's] first co-adulterer and very far from being the last.

I write to you from my home computer, in the interest of protecting your privacy. While you may feel free to contact me at my law office address, it would be preferable and more expeditious if you were to contact me at my home email address above, namely, [Nadeau's email address]. I do request, however, that you contact me by 5:00 pm today to arrange a candid telephone conversation between us, and that you provide me with a telephone number, date and time when I may reach you within the next 48 hours to thoroughly discuss [Maryann]. You may be assured that I will not require you to discuss physician-patient protected information, but you should also understand that adulterous conduct between a physician and patient is not privileged.

My hearing concerning [Maryann's] bar complaint against me is scheduled for May 19-20 in Augusta, Maine. Your attendance to testify fully on May 20 at that hearing is essential. I, therefore, ask you to mark that date on your calendar. I will provide you with the address and directions. I would like to protect you from being subpoenaed at your home or office to secure your attendance and testimony at the hearing, but I will need your cooperation if such process may be avoided. Let me also inform you that I fully appreciate the difficult and sensitive nature of this matter for you. I will do everything possible to work with you to respect and support your circumstances. However, you must understand that this matter and the evidence in your possession are critical to my career, as well, and to the dignity and happiness of my wife, children and family who have been victimized by the extensive publicity [Maryann] has caused to be generated in the local press about her charge against me during the past two years. In the face of that, it was no easy task for me to have recently won re-election to my judicial office—something that usually garners, at best, only one opposing candidate but this time attracted five opportunistic opponents and caused me considerable expense and personal heartache thanks to the negative press attributable to [Maryann].

The language, tone and history of [Maryann's] sexually charged emails between you and of her threatened professional conduct-related complaints at the end of her affair with you extremely closely parallel my own experiences with her, from A to Z. [Maryann] and her ex-husband, [Ex-husband's name], moved back from Massachusetts to Wells, Maine in 2002. She informed me that she saw my photograph on the back cover of our local phone book, when she was looking to hire a divorce lawyer (she has since denied this to the bar board). I met [Maryann] in March 2003, when she made an appointment to begin a divorce proceeding. She was 29; I was 48. She told me many things about her relationship with [Ex-husband] and about her allegedly innocent, vulnerable past and of her allegedly, historically unhappy marriage, as well as, surprisingly (without any solicitation or need whatsoever), very graphic sexual information concerning her experiences with and unhappiness about [Ex-husband]—all of which I later learned were untrue. She also told me that she underwent [plastic surgery] in Massachusetts because [Ex-husband] allegedly wanted it, but she did not mention you by name and never indicated that she had ever had an affair with anyone in her past or any complaints about anyone other than [Ex-husband].

I ended my professional relationship with [Maryann] by verbal agreement with her on Friday afternoon, June 20, 2003, and immediately gave her a referral to outside, successor counsel to take over and finish her divorce case. Several days prior to doing so, I had unwittingly obtained a court order for [Maryann], requiring [Ex-husband] to vacate the marital home by July 5, 2003, at [Maryann's] insistence. Little did I know that by that date (July 5), I would have been caused by [Maryann] to separate from my beautiful wife of 22 years and three adoring children, and to be invited by [Maryann] to move in with her and her two children as I then did. In any event, within minutes after terminating my professional relationship with [Maryann] and referring [Maryann] to outside counsel on June 20, 2003, she had stood up, walked around my office desk, leaned over as I sat, placed her hand on my cheek, passionately kissed me, and all sexual hell broke loose. As I now realize, [Maryann] (who had reportedly been an almost daily visitor to my office searching for me when I was usually in court) had purposefully figured out that my office staff left work by 5 pm. So, she scheduled a late afternoon appointment to enable herself to be present shortly before the staff left, thereby giving her presence in my office the appearance of legitimacy. She talked about legal stuff until staff departed for the weekend, whereupon, as stated above, the purpose of her office visit very rapidly changed.

During my relationship with [Maryann], she induced me to buy her a diamond ring and to become 'engaged' to her, to file divorce pleadings against my wife, and to largely ignore my law practice. I can tell you so much more, but I will await our conversation. In any event, by the second week of August, 2003 while continuing to live with [Maryann], I came to realize that things were not as rosy as they seemed and that [Maryann] had been dishonest with me about many things she had told me when I was her attorney. I also did not understand why she had worn a birth control patch on her abdomen on June 20, 2003 (but never again thereafter, upon learning that I'd had a vasectomy), inasmuch as she had previously volunteered during one of our earliest office consultations that due to her alleged hatred of [Ex-husband] she had not had sexual relations for almost a year. Meanwhile, my children were suffering badly due to the pending divorce, as was my wife to whom I found myself being suddenly, more deeply in love with that I had ever realized. I left [Maryann] as a result. My wife and I reconciled several days later.

Threats of a bar complaint if I did not return to [Maryann], followed by the actual, now pending complaint, and tremendous, negative, very hurtful, damaging, distorted and unfair press, followed. [Maryann's] parting shot, in a nasty email to me laden with profanities, congratulated me for having unwittingly contracted an alleged, sexually transmitted disease from her that I must have

by then surely transmitted in turn to my wife; she suggested that my wife and I should 'enjoy' that STD. She also contacted my staff and publicized to them her newfound belief that I was, all of a sudden, an unethical lawyer who should be disbarred. It was only during the past two months, as I have been desperately trying to tip the balance of the evidence from a 'her word versus mine' scenario to something more persuasive, that we have learned through sources about [Maryann's] true past, including her relationship with you and many other unimaginable things that I am certain you never knew.

Incidentally, [Ex-husband] moved back to the [city and state] area from which he hails, as of July 5, 2003. I am informed that [Maryann] moved back to her family in that same area during the spring of 2004, and has been engaged to two different men, one of whom was reportedly a doctor in Vermont with whom the engagement was reportedly also called off, after our split occurred. I can only wonder whether that guy is also now the subject of some type of threats or complaint from [Maryann]! Meanwhile, her Maine divorce was granted and she was essentially awarded everything. Further, [Maryann] never informed [Ex-husband] about her affair with me or about her pending bar complaint and threatened lawsuit against me and, therefore, committed fraud in connection with her divorce case.

Please note that, due to extensive office renovations beginning today, I will be at my home today and through the weekend. I cannot overemphasize the importance of your contact with me there, immediately. I look forward to our communication, commiseration and mutual support.

Bob Nadeau