

From: Robert Nadeau
Subject: [Rusty Hammer]
To: [Maryann]
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2009, 10:15 AM

Ms. [Maryann],

Attorney [Rusty Hammer] acknowledged to me two weeks ago that he did indeed engage in a romantic and sexual affair with you during 2007 while you were my fiancée and thereafter, and that his time spent with you ceased only after he left his employment at Nadeau Law, LLC in October, 2007. This was consistent with information provided to me long ago by [Judith] that, as you know, rendered what appeared to me to be an imminent reunification of you and your children with me permanently impossible. Nevertheless, because at the time Mr. [Hammer] had previously denied his affair and activities with you and because you seemed to be reluctant to discuss it and, therefore, denied it also, my obligations in that regard were not triggered.

Now, however, based on the recent, further information, I understand that because you asserted an attorney-client objection when Attorney [Hammer] took the witness stand to testify during the hearing in the protection case of [Maryann] v. Nadeau you filed in the York District Court and that Mr. [Hammer] was reportedly serving as a legal advisor to you in July, 2009 and perhaps at other times while employed by my former law firms, I will have reporting obligations to the malpractice insurance carrier insuring Mr. [Hammer's] employers at the time, namely, Nadeau & Associates, P.A. (prior to September 7, 2007) and Nadeau Law, LLC (on and after September 7, 2007). There were or may have been times when the former law firm lacked continuous insurance coverage due to premium non-payment issues, but I believe that coverage was in place for it during the time period from July, 2007 through September 7, 2007 or so, and I am certain that Nadeau Law, LLC had continuous coverage thereafter.

Therefore, if you desire to preserve any claim you may have concerning Mr. [Hammer's] conduct, please provide me by not later than Friday of this week with the following detailed information, for my transmittal to the insurance carrier:

1. The dates, duration, nature of and activities associated with your involvement with Mr. [Hammer];
2. The dates, locations, duration and nature of consultations with him for legal guidance (it is not necessary, however, for you to disclose the confidential substance of the communications beyond a general description such as "advice/guidance regarding protection/other legal issue" unless you wish to provide additional details), and if any form of payment or other consideration was offered by, requested of or paid by you for the guidance;
3. Your recollection regarding your mental and emotional status at the time of the attorney-client relationship with Mr. [Hammer]; and,
4. The emotional and financial consequences you claim to have suffered as the result of his apparent professional violation(s).

Please understand that in seeking this information, I am merely fulfilling my professional obligations to the applicable insurer and as an attorney. Nothing in this communication or in your

response may be construed in any way as establishing an attorney-client relationship between yourself and Nadeau Legal PLLC or me. I will, however, promptly transmit to the carrier your responses if you so request, provided that your responses will provide a reasonable level of applicable information confirming my reporting obligation. I expect that the insurer's representative will be in contact with you thereafter, either by email or at any address or phone number you may wish to provide.

If, however, I do not receive your response and reporting request by Friday, June 5, 2009, I will take that as your indication that no basis for an insured claim concerning Mr. Hammer exists, and this matter will be closed.

I further request that, if you choose to respond to this email, you will limit your response to the 4 issues itemized above, and that your response shall be by a responding email communication to this email address. Please do not telephone or mail my office in the alternative. If any non-responsive email material is submitted by you, your above email address will be re-blocked immediately in lieu of being re-blocked in any event after June 5, 2009.

Thank you.

Robert M.A. Nadeau